



1616/4

Docket No. 59138-B-PCT-US/JPW/ANX

In re application of: Beth Borowsky, et al.

Serial No.: 10/018,192

Group Art Unit: 1646

Filed: June 24, 2002

Examiner: E. O'Hara

For: DNA Encoding SNORF36a and SNORF36b Receptors

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O.Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

January 20, 2004

Transmitted herewith is an amendment to the above-identified application.

Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9 and § 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.

a verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9 and § 1.27 is enclosed.

No additional fee is required.

The filing fee is calculated as follows:

	NUMBER AFTER AMEND- MENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		NUMBER OF EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENTED		RATE		FEE	
							SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY	SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY
Total Claims	8	-	* 18	=	*** 0	x	9	18	=	\$0
Indepen- dent Claims	8	-	** 3	=	*** 5	x	43 <del>145</del>	86 <del>290</del>	=	\$430
Multiple Dependent Claims(s) Presented Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> For First Time:							145 <del>145</del>	290 <del>290</del>		\$0
							TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$430.00			

\*If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

\*\*If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

\*\*\*If the difference between the "NUMBER AFTER AMENDMENT" and the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than "0", write "0" in the space.

Applicants: Beth Borowsky, et al.  
U.S. Serial No.: 10/018,192  
Filed: June 24, 2002


**Amendment Transmittal Letter**  
**Page 2**


The "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" (Total or Independent) is the highest of the "NUMBER AFTER AMENDMENT" in any prior amendment or the number of claims as originally filed.

\_\_\_\_\_ Please charge Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_  
in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

- ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 540.00 is enclosed.  
(\$110.00 for a One-Month Extension of Time; \$430.00 for additional fee)
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-3125. Three copies of this sheet are enclosed.
- ☒ Any filing fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.
- ☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John P. White  
Registration No. 28,678  
Attorney for Applicants  
Cooper & Dunham LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 278-0400

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
 John P. White Reg. No. 28,678	<u>1/20/04</u> Date



Dkt.59138-B-PCT-US/JPW/ANX

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Beth Borowsky, et al.  
Serial No.: 10/018,192 Group Art Unit: 1646  
Filed : June 24, 2002 Examiner: E. O'Hara  
For : DNA ENCODING SNORF36a and SNORF36b  
RECEPTORS

1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
January 20, 2004

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Madam:

AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO NOVEMBER 17, 2003 OFFICE ACTION AND  
PETITION FOR A ONE-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action issued November 17, 2003 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified application. A response to the November 17, 2003 Office Action was originally due December 17, 2003. Applicants hereby petition for a one-month extension of time in which to respond to the November 17, 2003 Office Action. The fee for a one-month extension of time for a large entity is ONE-HUNDRED AND TEN DOLLARS (\$110.00) and a check including this amount is enclosed. Accordingly, with a one-month extension of time, a response is now due January 17, 2004. However, since January 17, 2004 falls on a Saturday, a response filed on the next succeeding day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or Federal Holiday, i.e. Tuesday, January 20, 2004, is considered timely filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.7. Therefore, this response is being timely filed.

Amendments to the Specification begin on Page 3 of this paper.

01/27/2004 WABDELRI 00000032 10018192

01 FC:1201 430.00 OP

01/27/2004 WABDELRI 00000032 10018192

02 FC:1251 110.00 OP

Beth Borowsky, et al.  
Serial No.: 10/018,192  
Filed: June 24, 2002  
Page 2

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims,  
which begins on Page 4 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on Page 12 of this paper.